



ELSEVIER

Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 169 (2002) 215–227

JOURNAL OF
PURE AND
APPLIED ALGEBRAwww.elsevier.com/locate/jpaa

Parabolic subgroups of finite index in Coxeter groups

Tetsuya Hosaka

Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, 305-8571, Japan

Received 10 July 2000; received in revised form 28 March 2001

Communicated by C.A. Weibel

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate irreducible Coxeter systems and we determine the minimal parabolic subgroup of finite index in a Coxeter group. © 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

MSC: 20F55

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The purpose of this paper is to study parabolic subgroups of a Coxeter group. A *Coxeter group* is a group W having a presentation

$$\langle S \mid (st)^{m(s,t)} = 1 \text{ for } s, t \in S \rangle,$$

where S is a finite set and $m: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ is a function satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) $m(s, t) = m(t, s)$ for all $s, t \in S$,
- (2) $m(s, s) = 1$ for all $s \in S$, and
- (3) $m(s, t) \geq 2$ for all $s \neq t \in S$.

The pair (W, S) is called a *Coxeter system*. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. For a subset $T \subset S$, W_T is defined as the subgroup of W generated by T , and called a *parabolic subgroup*. It is known that the pair (W_T, T) is also a Coxeter system [1]. If T is the empty set, then W_T is the trivial group. A Coxeter system (W, S) is said to be *irreducible* if, for any nonempty and proper subset T of S , W does not decompose as the direct product of W_T and $W_{S \setminus T}$.

E-mail address: thosaka@math.tsukuba.ac.jp (T. Hosaka).

After some preliminaries in Section 2, we show the following theorem in Section 3.

Theorem. *Let (W, S) be an irreducible Coxeter system. If W is infinite, then W has no parabolic subgroup of finite index other than W itself.*

By the above theorem we can determine the minimal parabolic subgroup of finite index in a Coxeter system. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. Then there exists a unique decomposition $\{S_1, \dots, S_r\}$ of S such that W is the direct product of the parabolic subgroups W_{S_1}, \dots, W_{S_r} and each Coxeter system (W_{S_i}, S_i) is irreducible (cf. [1, 7, p. 30]). Let $\tilde{S} := \bigcup \{S_i \mid W_{S_i} \text{ is infinite}\}$. Then we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary. *The parabolic subgroup $W_{\tilde{S}}$ is the minimal parabolic subgroup of finite index in W . Hence, if W_{S_0} is a parabolic subgroup of finite index in W , then*

- (1) $\tilde{S} \subset S_0$,
- (2) $W_{S_0} = W_{\tilde{S}} \times W_{S_0 \setminus \tilde{S}}$ and
- (3) $[W : W_{S_0}] = |W_{S \setminus \tilde{S}}| / |W_{S_0 \setminus \tilde{S}}|$.

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. Let $\mathcal{S}^f(W, S)$ be the family of subsets T of S such that W_T is finite. We note that the empty set is a member of $\mathcal{S}^f(W, S)$. We define a simplicial complex $L(W, S)$ by the following conditions:

- (1) the vertex set of $L(W, S)$ is S , and
- (2) for each nonempty subset T of S , T spans a simplex of $L(W, S)$ if and only if $T \in \mathcal{S}^f(W, S)$.

For each nonempty subset T of S , $L(W_T, T)$ is a subcomplex of $L(W, S)$. In this paper, $\mathcal{S}^f(W, S)$, $L(W, S)$ and $L(W_T, T)$ are abbreviated to \mathcal{S}^f , L and L_T , respectively.

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and let $W\mathcal{S}^f$ be the set of all cosets of the form wW_T , with $w \in W$ and $T \in \mathcal{S}^f$. The sets \mathcal{S}^f and $W\mathcal{S}^f$ are partially ordered by inclusion. Contractible simplicial complexes $K(W, S)$ and $\Sigma(W, S)$ are defined as the geometric realizations of the partially ordered sets \mathcal{S}^f and $W\mathcal{S}^f$, respectively [5, Section 3; 3]. Here $K(W, S)$ is the cone on the barycentric subdivision of $L(W, S)$. For each subset $T \subset S$, $\Sigma(W_T, T)$ is a subcomplex of $\Sigma(W, S)$. In this paper, $K(W, S)$, $\Sigma(W, S)$ and $\Sigma(W_T, T)$ are abbreviated to K , Σ and Σ_T , respectively. The natural embedding $\mathcal{S}^f \rightarrow W\mathcal{S}^f$ defined by $T \mapsto W_T$ induces an embedding $K \rightarrow \Sigma$ which we regard as an inclusion. The group W acts on Σ via simplicial automorphism. Then $\Sigma = WK$ and $\Sigma/W \cong K$ ([3, 5]). For each $w \in W$, wK is called a *chamber* of Σ . If W is infinite, then Σ is noncompact. It is known that Σ can be cellulated so that the link of each vertex is L [4, Sections 9, 10; 8]. In [8], G. Moussong proved that a natural metric on Σ satisfies the CAT(0) condition. Hence, if W is infinite, Σ can be compactified by adding its ideal boundary $\partial\Sigma$ [4, Section 4; 6]. We note that the natural action of W on Σ is properly discontinuous and cocompact [3, 4], and this action induces an action of W on $\partial\Sigma$.

We show the following theorem in Section 4.

Theorem. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $S_0 \subset S$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) $\partial\Sigma_{S_0}$ is W -invariant;
- (2) $W = W_{\hat{S}_0} \times W_{S \setminus \hat{S}_0}$.

This theorem implies the following corollary.

Corollary. Let (W, S) be an irreducible Coxeter system. If S_0 is a proper subset of S and $\partial\Sigma_{S_0}$ is nonempty, then $\partial\Sigma_{S_0}$ is not W -invariant.

2. Lemmas on Coxeter groups

In this section, we prove some lemmas for Coxeter groups which are used later.

Definition 2.1. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. For each $w \in W$, we define a subset $S(w)$ of S as

$$S(w) := \{s \in S \mid \ell(ws) < \ell(w)\},$$

where $\ell(w)$ is the minimum length of word in S which represents w . For each subset T of S , we define the following subsets of W :

$$A_T := \{w \in W \mid \ell(wt) > \ell(w), \text{ for all } t \in T\} = \{w \in W \mid T \subset S \setminus S(w)\},$$

$$C_T := \{w \in W \mid \ell(wt) < \ell(w), \text{ for all } t \in T\} = \{w \in W \mid T \subset S(w)\},$$

$$W^T := \{w \in W \mid S(w) = T\} = C_T \cap A_{S \setminus T}.$$

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $w \in W$. A representation $w = s_1 \cdots s_l$ ($s_i \in S$) is said to be *reduced*, if $\ell(w) = l$.

The statements in the following lemma are known or obtained by definition.

Lemma 2.2 (Bourbaki [1] and Davis [5]). Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system.

- (i) $S(w)$ is empty if and only if $w = 1$, i.e., $W^0 = \{1\}$.
- (ii) For each $w \in W$ and $s \in S$, $\ell(ws)$ equals either $\ell(w) + 1$ or $\ell(w) - 1$, and $\ell(sw)$ also equals either $\ell(w) + 1$ or $\ell(w) - 1$.
- (iii) For each $T \subset S$ and $w \in W_T$, $\ell_T(w) = \ell(w)$, where $\ell_T(w)$ is the length of w in W_T .
- (iv) Let $T \subset S$, $w \in W_T$ and $s \in S \setminus T$. Then $\ell(ws) = \ell(sw) = \ell(w) + 1$.
- (v) $(W_{S'})^T \subset W^T$ for each subset S' of S containing T .
- (vi) Let $w = s_1 \cdots s_l$ be a reduced representation and $T \subset S$. If $w \in A_T$, then $s_i \cdots s_l \in A_T$ for each $1 \leq i \leq l$.

Lemma 2.3 (Davis [5, Lemma 1.3]). *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $w \in W$ and $T \subset S$. Then there exists a unique element of shortest length in the coset wW_T . Moreover, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) w is the element of shortest length in the coset wW_T ;
- (ii) $w \in A_T$;
- (iii) $\ell(wu) = \ell(w) + \ell(u)$ for each $u \in W_T$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $S_0 \subset S$. Then $[W : W_{S_0}] = |A_{S_0}|$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\{wW_{S_0} \mid w \in W\} = \{wW_{S_0} \mid w \in A_{S_0}\}.$$

For each $w_1, w_2 \in A_{S_0}$, $w_1W_{S_0} = w_2W_{S_0}$ if and only if $w_1 = w_2$ by Lemma 2.3. Thus $[W : W_{S_0}] = |A_{S_0}|$. \square

The following lemma is well-known.

Lemma 2.5. *Let G be a group and let H and K be subgroups of G . If $[G : H] < \infty$, then $[K : H \cap K] < \infty$.*

We investigate $L(W, S)$ in the case W has a parabolic subgroup of finite index.

Lemma 2.6. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $S_0 \subset S$. If W_{S_0} has finite index in W , then $W_{S \setminus S_0}$ is finite (i.e., $L_{S \setminus S_0}$ is a simplex) and $L = L_{S_0} * L_{S \setminus S_0}$.*

Proof. First we show that $W_{S \setminus S_0}$ is finite. Since W_{S_0} has finite index in W , $\{wW_{S_0} \mid w \in W\} \supset \{wW_{S_0} \mid w \in W_{S \setminus S_0}\}$ is finite. We note that $w_1W_{S_0} = w_2W_{S_0}$ if and only if $w_1 = w_2$ for each $w_1, w_2 \in W_{S \setminus S_0}$. Hence $W_{S \setminus S_0}$ is finite.

Next we show that $L = L_{S_0} * L_{S \setminus S_0}$. Let σ and τ be simplexes of L_{S_0} and $L_{S \setminus S_0}$, respectively. Since $[W : W_{S_0}] < \infty$, we have that $[W_{\sigma^0 \cup \tau^0} : W_{\sigma^0}] < \infty$ by Lemma 2.5. Since W_{σ^0} is finite, $W_{\sigma^0 \cup \tau^0}$ is finite, i.e., $\sigma * \tau$ is a simplex of L . \square

We show the following technical lemma needed later.

Lemma 2.7. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, $T \subset S$ and $t_1, \dots, t_n \in S \setminus T$ ($t_i \neq t_j$ if $i \neq j$). Suppose that $t_i t_{i+1} \neq t_{i+1} t_i$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $t_n t \neq t t_n$ for any $t \in T$. Then*

$$(W_{S \setminus \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}})^T t_n \cdots t_1 \subset W^{\{t_1\}}.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $S(w(t_n \cdots t_1)) = \{t_1\}$ for each $w \in (W_{S \setminus \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}})^T$. Let $w \in (W_{S \setminus \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}})^T$. Then $w \in A_{S \setminus T}$ and $t_n \cdots t_1 \in W_{S \setminus T}$. Hence, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.2(iv),

$$\ell(w(t_n \cdots t_1)) = \ell(w) + \ell(t_n \cdots t_1) = \ell(w) + n.$$

By the same argument, we have

$$\ell(w(t_n \cdots t_2 t_1) t_1) = \ell(w(t_n \cdots t_2)) = \ell(w) + n - 1.$$

Hence $\{t_1\} \subset S(w(t_n \cdots t_1))$. To prove the reverse inclusion $S(w(t_n \cdots t_1)) \subset \{t_1\}$, we show that $S \setminus \{t_1\} \subset S \setminus S(w(t_n \cdots t_1))$ (i.e., $\ell(w(t_n \cdots t_1)s) = \ell(w) + n + 1$ for each $s \in S \setminus \{t_1\}$). Let $s \in S \setminus \{t_1\}$. If $s \notin T$, then

$$\ell(w(t_n \cdots t_1)s) = \ell(w) + \ell((t_n \cdots t_1)s) = \ell(w) + n + 1,$$

by $(t_n \cdots t_1)s \in W_{S \setminus T}$ and Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $s \in T$. Let $u := (t_n \cdots t_1)s$. Then $S(u^{-1}) = \{t_n\}$, i.e., $u^{-1} \in A_{S \setminus \{t_n\}}$. Since $w^{-1} \in W_{S \setminus \{t_n\}}$,

$$\ell(wu) = \ell(u^{-1}w^{-1}) = \ell(u^{-1}) + \ell(w^{-1}) = \ell(w) + \ell(u) = \ell(w) + n + 1$$

by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.2(iv). Thus $\ell(w(t_n \cdots t_1)s) = \ell(w) + n + 1$ for each $s \in S \setminus \{t_1\}$. \square

Using Lemmas 2.6 and 2.7, we prove the following lemma which plays a key role in the next section.

Lemma 2.8. *Let (W, S) be an irreducible Coxeter system and S_0 a proper subset of S . If W_{S_0} is infinite, then there exists $t \in S \setminus S_0$ such that $A_{S_0}t \cap W_{S_0}$ is infinite.*

Proof. Let $T_0 := S \setminus S_0$. We define $\{S_i\}$ and $\{T_i\}$ by induction as follows:

$$S_{i+1} := \{s \in S_i \mid st = ts \text{ for each } t \in T_i\},$$

$$T_{i+1} := \{s \in S_i \mid st \neq ts \text{ for some } t \in T_i\} = S_i \setminus S_{i+1}.$$

Since, S is finite, $T_n \neq \emptyset$ and $T_{n+1} = \emptyset$ for some n . Then $S = T_0 \cup T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_n \cup S_n$ and $W = W_{T_0 \cup \cdots \cup T_n} \times W_{S_n}$. Since (W, S) is irreducible, S_n is empty, i.e., $S = T_0 \cup T_1 \cup \cdots \cup T_n$.

Suppose that $A_{S_0}t \cap W_{S_0}$ is finite for each $t \in S \setminus S_0$. Then we show that $L_{S_k} = L_{T_{k+1}} * L_{S_{k+1}}$ and $L_{T_{k+1}}$ is a simplex for each $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

Let $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$. For each $t_k \in T_k$, we define $T_{k+1}(t_k)$ as

$$T_{k+1}(t_k) := \{s \in S_k \mid t_k s \neq s t_k\}.$$

Then $T_{k+1} = \bigcup_{t_k \in T_k} T_{k+1}(t_k)$ by the definition of T_{k+1} .

Let $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$, $t_k \in T_k$ and $T'_{k+1} \subset T_{k+1}(t_k)$. By the definition of $\{T_i\}$, there exist $s_i \in T_i$ ($i = 0, \dots, k-1$) such that $s_{k-1}t_k \neq t_k s_{k-1}$ and $s_i s_{i+1} \neq s_{i+1} s_i$ for any $i = 0, \dots, k-2$. By Lemmas 2.7 and 2.2(v),

$$(W_{S_k})^{T'_{k+1}} t_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_1 s_0 \subset (W_{S_k \cup \{s_0, \dots, s_{k-1}, t_k\}})^{\{s_0\}} \subset W^{\{s_0\}} \subset A_{S \setminus \{s_0\}} \subset A_{S_0}.$$

Hence $(W_{S_k})^{T'_{k+1}} t_k s_{k-1} \cdots s_1 \subset A_{S_0} s_0 \cap W_{S_0}$. Since $A_{S_0} s_0 \cap W_{S_0}$ is finite, $(W_{S_k})^{T'_{k+1}}$ is finite. Thus $\bigcup_{T'_{k+1} \subset T_{k+1}(t_k)} (W_{S_k})^{T'_{k+1}}$ is finite for each $t_k \in T_k$. We note that

$$\bigcup_{T'_{k+1} \subset T_{k+1}(t_k)} (W_{S_k})^{T'_{k+1}} = \{w \in W_{S_k} \mid S(w) \subset T_{k+1}(t_k)\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \{w \in W_{S_k} \mid S_k \setminus T_{k+1}(t_k) \subset S_k \setminus S(w)\} \\
&= A_{S_k \setminus T_{k+1}(t_k)} \cap W_{S_k}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $A_{S_k \setminus T_{k+1}(t_k)} \cap W_{S_k}$ is finite for each $t_k \in T_k$. Since $[W_{S_k} : W_{S_k \setminus T_{k+1}(t_k)}] = |A_{S_k \setminus T_{k+1}(t_k)} \cap W_{S_k}|$ by Lemma 2.4 $[W_{S_k} : W_{S_k \setminus T_{k+1}(t_k)}]$ is finite. By Lemma 2.6, $W_{T_{k+1}(t_k)}$ is finite (i.e., $L_{T_{k+1}(t_k)}$ is a simplex) and $L_{S_k} = L_{T_{k+1}(t_k)} * L_{S_k \setminus T_{k+1}(t_k)}$ for each $t_k \in T_k$.

Let $t_{k+1} \in T_{k+1}$. Since $T_{k+1} = \bigcup_{t_k \in T_k} T_{k+1}(t_k)$, there exists $s_k \in T_k$ such that $t_{k+1} \in T_{k+1}(s_k)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{S_k} &= L_{T_{k+1}(s_k)} * L_{S_k \setminus T_{k+1}(s_k)} = t_{k+1} * L_{T_{k+1}(s_k) \setminus \{t_{k+1}\}} * L_{S_k \setminus T_{k+1}(s_k)} \\
&= t_{k+1} * L_{S_k \setminus \{t_{k+1}\}}
\end{aligned}$$

because $L_{T_{k+1}(s_k)}$ is a simplex. Hence $L_{S_k} = t_{k+1} * L_{S_k \setminus \{t_{k+1}\}}$ for each $t_{k+1} \in T_{k+1}$. Thus $L_{T_{k+1}}$ is a simplex (hence $W_{T_{k+1}}$ is finite) and

$$L_{S_k} = L_{T_{k+1}} * L_{S_k \setminus T_{k+1}} = L_{T_{k+1}} * L_{S_{k+1}}.$$

Here k is an arbitrary element of $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_{S_0} &= L_{T_1} * L_{S_1} \\
&= L_{T_1} * L_{T_2} * L_{S_2} \\
&= \dots \\
&= (L_{T_1} * \dots * L_{T_n}) * L_{S_n} \\
&= L_{T_1} * \dots * L_{T_n},
\end{aligned}$$

since S_n is empty. Hence L_{S_0} is a simplex, i.e., W_{S_0} is finite. This contradicts the assumption of the infiniteness of W_{S_0} . Therefore, $A_{S_0}t \cap W_{S_0}$ is infinite for some $t \in S \setminus S_0$. \square

3. Parabolic subgroups of finite index in Coxeter groups

Using Lemma 2.8, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let (W, S) be an irreducible Coxeter system. If W is infinite, then W has no parabolic subgroup of finite index other than W itself.*

Proof. Let S_0 be a proper subset of S . If W_{S_0} is finite, then $[W : W_{S_0}] = \infty$ because W is infinite. Suppose that W_{S_0} is infinite. Then, by Lemma 2.8, $A_{S_0}t \cap W_{S_0}$ is infinite for some $t \in S \setminus S_0$. Hence $[W : W_{S_0}] = |A_{S_0}|$ is infinite by Lemma 2.4. Thus we have that $[W : W_{S_0}] = \infty$ for each proper subset S_0 of S . \square

Definition 3.2. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. Then there exists a unique decomposition $\{S_1, \dots, S_r\}$ of S such that $W = W_{S_1} \times \cdots \times W_{S_r}$ and each Coxeter system (W_{S_i}, S_i) is irreducible (cf. [1; 7, p. 30]). We define $\tilde{S} := \bigcup \{S_i \mid W_{S_i} \text{ is infinite}\}$. Then $W_{S \setminus \tilde{S}}$ is finite and $W = W_{\tilde{S}} \times W_{S \setminus \tilde{S}}$. We note that W is finite if and only if \tilde{S} is empty.

We obtain the following corollary from Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.3. *The parabolic subgroup $W_{\tilde{S}}$ is the minimal parabolic subgroup of finite index in W .*

Proof. It is clear that $[W : W_{\tilde{S}}] < \infty$. We show that $[W_{\tilde{S}} : W_{S'}] = \infty$ for each proper subset S' of \tilde{S} . Let $\{S_i\}$ be the decomposition of \tilde{S} such that $W_{\tilde{S}} = W_{S_1} \times \cdots \times W_{S_n}$ and each (W_{S_i}, S_i) is irreducible. For each proper subset S' of \tilde{S} , there exists a number i_0 such that $S' \cap S_{i_0}$ is a proper subset of S_{i_0} . Since $(W_{S_{i_0}}, S_{i_0})$ is irreducible and $W_{S_{i_0}}$ is infinite, $[W_{S_{i_0}} : W_{S' \cap S_{i_0}}] = \infty$ by Theorem 3.1. Hence $[W_{\tilde{S}} : W_{S'}] = \infty$ by Lemma 2.5. \square

The following corollary is obtained immediately from Corollary 3.3.

Corollary 3.4. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $S_0 \subset S$. If W_{S_0} is a parabolic subgroup of finite index in W , then*

- (1) $\tilde{S} \subset S_0$,
- (2) $W_{S_0} = W_{\tilde{S}} \times W_{S_0 \setminus \tilde{S}}$ and
- (3) $[W : W_{S_0}] = |W_{S \setminus \tilde{S}}| / |W_{S_0 \setminus \tilde{S}}|$.

4. The boundaries of CAT(0) spaces on which Coxeter groups act

In this section, we investigate the boundary of a certain CAT(0) space Σ on which a Coxeter group act.

We recall some basic properties of CAT(0) spaces. Details of CAT(0) spaces and their boundaries are found in [2, 6]. We say that a metric space (X, d) is a *geodesic space* if for each $x, y \in X$, there exists an isometry $\xi : [0, d(x, y)] \rightarrow X$ such that $\xi(0) = x$ and $\xi(d(x, y)) = y$ (such ξ is called a *geodesic*). Also a metric space (X, d) is said to be *proper* if every closed metric ball is compact.

Let (X, d) be a geodesic space. Two geodesic rays $\xi, \zeta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ are said to be *asymptotic* if there exists a constant N such that $d(\xi(t), \zeta(t)) \leq N$ for each $t \geq 0$.

The following proposition is known.

Proposition 4.1 (cf. Bridson and Haefliger [2] and Ghys and de la Harpe [6]). *Let (X, d) be a proper CAT(0) space.*

- (1) *For each two points $x, y \in X$, there exists a unique geodesic segment between x and y in X .*

- (2) X is contractible.
- (3) For each geodesic ray ξ in X and each point $x_0 \in X$, there exists a unique geodesic ray ξ' issuing from x_0 such that ξ and ξ' are asymptotic.

Let (X, d) be a proper CAT(0) space and $x_0 \in X$. The *boundary of X with respect to x_0* , denoted by $\partial_{x_0}X$, is defined as the set of all geodesic rays issuing from x_0 . Then $X \cup \partial_{x_0}X$ has a natural topology, in which X is an open subspace, and a neighborhood basis for each point $\xi \in \partial_{x_0}X$ is given by the sets

$$U(\xi; r, \varepsilon) = \{x \in X \cup \partial X \mid x \notin B(x_0, r), d(\xi(r), \xi_x(r)) < \varepsilon\},$$

where $r, \varepsilon > 0$ and $\xi_x: [0, d(x_0, x)] \rightarrow X$ is the geodesic from x_0 to x ($\xi_x = x$ if $x \in \partial_{x_0}X$). This is called the *cone topology* on $X \cup \partial_{x_0}X$. It is known that $X \cup \partial_{x_0}X$ is a metrizable compactification of X [2,6].

Let x_0 and x_1 be two points of a proper CAT(0) space X . By Proposition 4.1(3), there exists a unique bijection $\Phi: \partial_{x_0}X \rightarrow \partial_{x_1}X$ such that ξ and $\Phi(\xi)$ are asymptotic for each $\xi \in \partial_{x_0}X$. It is known that $\Phi: \partial_{x_0}X \rightarrow \partial_{x_1}X$ is a homeomorphism [2,6].

Let X be a proper CAT(0) space. The asymptotic relation is an equivalence relation in the set of all geodesic rays in X . The *boundary of X* , denoted by ∂X , is defined as the set of asymptotic equivalence classes of geodesic rays. The equivalence class of a geodesic ray ξ is denoted by $\xi(\infty)$. By Proposition 4.1(3), for each $x_0 \in X$ and each $\alpha \in \partial X$, there exists a unique element $\xi \in \partial_{x_0}X$ with $\xi(\infty) = \alpha$. Thus we may identify ∂X with $\partial_{x_0}X$ for each $x_0 \in X$.

Let (X, d) be a proper CAT(0) space and Γ a group which acts on X by isometries. For each element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and each geodesic ray $\xi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$, a map $\gamma\xi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow X$ defined by $(\gamma\xi)(t) := \gamma(\xi(t))$ is also a geodesic ray. If geodesic rays ξ and ξ' are asymptotic, then $\gamma\xi$ and $\gamma\xi'$ are also asymptotic. Thus γ induces a homeomorphism of ∂X and Γ acts on ∂X .

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and let Σ and K be the proper CAT(0) cell complex and its chamber defined in Section 1, respectively. The definition of the metric of Σ is found in [8,4]. Here each n -cell of Σ is a convex subspace of the n -dimensional Euclidean space and the vertex set of each cell of Σ is the form wW_T with $w \in W$ and $T \in \mathcal{S}^f$ [8,4]. We note that the vertex set of Σ is W , and the 1-skeleton $\Sigma^{(1)}$ is the Cayley graph of W with respect to S with unit edges. For each subset $T \subset S$, $\Sigma_T = \Sigma(W_T, T)$ is a subcomplex of $\Sigma = \Sigma(W, S)$.

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system. A conjugate to an element of S is called a *reflection* of (W, S) . For a reflection $ws w^{-1}$ ($w \in W$ and $s \in S$), its fixed point set $F_{ws w^{-1}} := \{x \in \Sigma \mid (ws w^{-1})x = x\}$ is called the *wall* associated to $ws w^{-1}$. Here we note that

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ws w^{-1}} &= \{x \in \Sigma \mid (ws w^{-1})x = x\} \\ &= w\{w^{-1}x \in \Sigma \mid sw^{-1}x = w^{-1}x\} \\ &= w\{x \in \Sigma \mid sx = x\} \\ &= wF_s. \end{aligned}$$

It is known that $\Sigma \setminus F_{wsw^{-1}}$ has two components which are interchanged by wsw^{-1} , $W \cap F_{wsw^{-1}} = \emptyset$ and for each $u \in W$, uK is the closure of the component with u of $\Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{w \in W, s \in S} F_{wsw^{-1}}$ [3,4]. Let C be a cell of Σ such that $C \cap F_{wsw^{-1}} \neq \emptyset$. Then $(wsw^{-1})C = C$ and $C \setminus F_{wsw^{-1}}$ has two components which are interchanged by wsw^{-1} . Since C is a convex subspace of the Euclidean space, every geodesic segment in C cannot intersect $F_{wsw^{-1}} \cap C$ in a subinterval of positive length unless it lies entirely within $F_{wsw^{-1}} \cap C$. By the definition of the metric of Σ , every geodesic segment in Σ cannot intersect $F_{wsw^{-1}}$ in a subinterval of positive length unless it lies entirely within $F_{wsw^{-1}}$.

For each representation $w = s_1 \cdots s_l \in W$, we consider the path

$$P_{s_1, \dots, s_l} := [e, s_1] \cup [s_1, (s_1 s_2)] \cup \cdots \cup [(s_1 \cdots s_{l-2}), (s_1 \cdots s_{l-1})] \cup [(s_1 \cdots s_{l-1}), w]$$

in $\Sigma^{(1)} \subset \Sigma$, where e is the unit element of W .

We prove the following lemma needed later.

Lemma 4.2. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and N the diameter of K in Σ . Then for each $(e \neq)w \in W$, there exists a reduced representation $w = s_1 \cdots s_l$ such that*

$$d_H(\text{Im } \xi_w, P_{s_1, \dots, s_l}) \leq N,$$

where d_H is the Hausdorff distance and ξ_w is the geodesic from e to w in Σ .

Proof. Let $(e \neq)w \in W$ and ξ_w the geodesic from e to w in Σ . Then ξ_w intersects at least one wall, and there exist sequences $w_0 = e, w_1, \dots, w_{n-1}, w_n = w \in W$ and $0 = r_0 < r_1 < \cdots < r_n < r_{n+1} = d(e, w)$ such that

$$\xi_w([r_i, r_{i+1}]) = \text{Im } \xi_w \cap w_i K$$

for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. We note that $w_i \neq w_j$ if $i \neq j$, because K is a convex subspace of Σ .

Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let $w_{i-1}^{-1} w_i = s_1^i \cdots s_{l_i}^i$ be a reduced representation, let $T_i := \{s_1^i, \dots, s_{l_i}^i\}$ and let F_s be the wall associated to s . Then $\Sigma \setminus F_s$ has two components which are interchanged by s . Let X_s^+ and X_s^- be the two components of $\Sigma \setminus F_s$ with $e \in X_s^+$ and $s \in X_s^-$. Then $\Sigma \setminus F_s = X_s^+ \cup X_s^-$,

$$X_s^+ \cap W = \{u \in W \mid \ell(su) > \ell(u)\},$$

$$X_s^- \cap W = \{u \in W \mid \ell(su) < \ell(u)\}$$

(see [3]). We note that $F_s, X_s^+ \cup F_s$ and $X_s^- \cup F_s$ are convex by Proposition 4.1(1). Since $w_{i-1}^{-1} \xi_w(r_i) \in K \cap w_{i-1}^{-1} w_i K \neq \emptyset$, we have that $T_i \in \mathcal{S}^f$ (cf. [3, Lemma 8.1; 4]). Then

$$w_{i-1}^{-1} \xi_w(r_i) \in K \cap w_{i-1}^{-1} w_i K = \bigcap_{s \in T_i} (K \cap F_s) = \bigcap_{u \in W_{T_i}} uK$$

(see [3]).

Suppose that $w_{i-1}^{-1} \in X_t^-$ for some $t \in T_i$. Since $w_{i-1}^{-1} \xi_w(r_i) \in \bigcap_{s \in T_i} K \cap F_s \subset F_t$, we have that

$$w_{i-1}^{-1} \xi_w([0, r_i]) \subset X_t^- \cup F_t,$$

because $X_t^- \cup F_t$ is convex. On the other hand,

$$w_{i-1}^{-1} \xi_w((r_{i-1} + r_i)/2) \in \text{Int } K \subset X_t^+.$$

This contradiction implies that $w_{i-1}^{-1} \in X_s^+$ for each $s \in T_i$. Hence $\ell(sw_{i-1}^{-1}) > \ell(w_{i-1}^{-1})$, i.e., $\ell(w_{i-1}s) > \ell(w_{i-1})$ for each $s \in T_i$. This means that $w_{i-1} \in A_{T_i}$. By Lemma 2.3,

$$\ell(w_i) = \ell(w_{i-1}s_1^i \cdots s_{l_i}^i) = \ell(w_{i-1}) + \ell(s_1^i \cdots s_{l_i}^i).$$

Thus, we obtain the reduced representation

$$\begin{aligned} w &= w_n = w_{n-1}(s_1^n \cdots s_{l_n}^n), \\ &= w_{n-2}(s_1^{n-1} \cdots s_{l_{n-1}}^{n-1})(s_1^n \cdots s_{l_n}^n), \\ &= \cdots \\ &= (s_1^1 \cdots s_{l_1}^1)(s_1^2 \cdots s_{l_2}^2) \cdots (s_1^{n-1} \cdots s_{l_{n-1}}^{n-1})(s_1^n \cdots s_{l_n}^n). \end{aligned}$$

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and each $j \in \{1, \dots, l_i\}$,

$$\xi_w(r_i) \in \text{Im } \xi_w \cap \bigcap_{u \in W_{T_i}} w_{i-1}uK \subset \text{Im } \xi_w \cap w_{i-1}(s_1^i \cdots s_j^i)K \neq \emptyset.$$

Therefore,

$$d_H(\text{Im } \xi_w, P_{s_1^1, \dots, s_{l_1}^1, \dots, s_1^n, \dots, s_{l_n}^n}) \leq N. \quad \square$$

Using Lemmas 2.8 and 4.2, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system and $S_0 \subset S$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) $\partial \Sigma_{S_0}$ is W -invariant;
- (2) $W = W_{\tilde{S}_0} \times W_{S \setminus \tilde{S}_0}$.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2): Suppose that $\partial \Sigma_{S_0}$ is W -invariant. If $S = S_0$ or $\tilde{S}_0 = \emptyset$, then it is clear that $W = W_{\tilde{S}_0} \times W_{S \setminus \tilde{S}_0}$. We suppose that S_0 is a proper subset of S and \tilde{S}_0 is not empty (i.e., W_{S_0} is infinite).

We show that $W_{\tilde{S}_0 \cup (S \setminus S_0)} = W_{\tilde{S}_0} \times W_{S \setminus S_0}$. Suppose that $W_{\tilde{S}_0 \cup (S \setminus S_0)} \neq W_{\tilde{S}_0} \times W_{S \setminus S_0}$. Then there exist $s_0 \in \tilde{S}_0$ and $t_0 \in S \setminus S_0$ such that $s_0 t_0 \neq t_0 s_0$. Let $\{T_1, \dots, T_n\}$ be a decomposition of \tilde{S}_0 such that $W_{\tilde{S}_0} = W_{T_1} \times \cdots \times W_{T_n}$ and each (W_{T_k}, T_k) is irreducible. Since $s_0 \in \tilde{S}_0 = \bigcup_{k=1}^n T_k$, $s_0 \in T_{k_0}$ for some $k_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $(W_{T_{k_0} \cup \{t_0\}}, T_{k_0} \cup \{t_0\})$ is irreducible and $W_{T_{k_0}}$ is infinite. By Lemma 2.8, $A_{T_{k_0}} t_0 \cap W_{T_{k_0}}$ is infinite. Since $(A_{T_{k_0}} t_0 \cap W_{T_{k_0}})^{-1}$ is a vertex set of Σ , there exists a sequence $\{v_i\} \subset (A_{T_{k_0}} t_0 \cap W_{T_{k_0}})^{-1}$

which converges to a point $\xi(\infty) \in \partial \Sigma_{T_{k_0}} \subset \partial \Sigma_{S_0}$, where ξ is a geodesic ray issuing from e in Σ . For each $j = 1, 2, \dots$, there exists a number i_j such that $d(e, v_{i_j}) > j$ and $d(\xi_{v_{i_j}}(j), \xi(j)) < 1$, where $\xi_{v_{i_j}}$ is the geodesic from e to v_{i_j} in Σ . By Lemmas 4.2 and 2.2(vi), for each j , there exists $w_j \in (A_{T_{k_0}} t_0 \cap W_{T_{k_0}})^{-1}$ such that $\ell(v_{i_j}) = \ell(w_j) + \ell((w_j)^{-1} v_{i_j})$ and $d(w_j, \xi(j)) < N + 1$, where $N = \text{diam}(K)$. We note that $\{w_j\}$ converges to $\xi(\infty)$ and $\{t_0 w_j\}$ converges to $t_0 \xi(\infty)$.

We show that $t_0 \xi(\infty) \notin \partial \Sigma_{S_0}$. Since $d(t_0 w_j, t_0 \xi(j)) < N + 1$ and $\Sigma_{S_0} \subset W_{S_0} K$,

$$d(t_0 \xi(j), \Sigma_{S_0}) \geq d(t_0 w_j, W_{S_0}) - (2N + 1).$$

The group W has the word metric $d_\ell(w, w') := \ell(w^{-1} w')$ for each $w, w' \in W$. The inclusion $W \rightarrow \Sigma$ is a quasi-isometric embedding, i.e., there exist constants $\lambda \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that

$$d(w, w') \geq \frac{1}{\lambda} d_\ell(w, w') - \varepsilon$$

for each $w, w' \in W$ (cf. [2, p. 140]). Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(t_0 \xi(j), \Sigma_{S_0}) &\geq d(t_0 w_j, W_{S_0}) - (2N + 1) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda} d_\ell(t_0 w_j, W_{S_0}) - (2N + \varepsilon + 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \min\{\ell((t_0 w_j)^{-1} u) \mid u \in W_{S_0}\} - (2N + \varepsilon + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that $(t_0 w_j)^{-1} = (w_j)^{-1} t_0 \in A_{T_{k_0}} \cap W_{T_{k_0}} t_0 \subset A_{S_0}$. Indeed, let $w \in A_{T_{k_0}} \cap W_{T_{k_0}} t_0$ and $s \in S_0$. If $s \in T_{k_0}$ then $\ell(ws) > \ell(w)$, since $w \in A_{T_{k_0}}$. If $s \in S_0 \setminus T_{k_0}$ then $\ell(ws) > \ell(w)$ by $w \in W_{T_{k_0} \cup \{t_0\}}$ and Lemma 2.2(iv). Hence $A_{T_{k_0}} \cap W_{T_{k_0}} t_0 \subset A_{S_0}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} d(t_0 \xi(j), \Sigma_{S_0}) &\geq \frac{1}{\lambda} \min\{\ell((t_0 w_j)^{-1} u) \mid u \in W_{S_0}\} - (2N + \varepsilon + 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \min\{\ell((t_0 w_j)^{-1}) + \ell(u) \mid u \in W_{S_0}\} \\ &\quad - (2N + \varepsilon + 1) \quad \text{by Lemma 2.3(iii)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} \ell((w_j)^{-1} t_0) - (2N + \varepsilon + 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda} (\ell(w_j) + 1) - (2N + \varepsilon + 1) \quad \text{by Lemma 2.2(iv)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\frac{1}{\lambda} (\ell(w_j) + 1) - (2N + \varepsilon + 1) \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$, i.e., there is no constant M such that $d(t_0 \xi(j), \Sigma_{S_0}) < M$ for each j . Hence $t_0 \xi(\infty) \notin \partial \Sigma_{S_0}$. This contradicts the assumption of the W -invariantness of $\partial \Sigma_{S_0}$. Thus $W_{\tilde{S}_0 \cup (S \setminus S_0)} = W_{\tilde{S}_0} \times W_{S \setminus S_0}$.

By the definition of \tilde{S}_0 , $W_{S_0} = W_{\tilde{S}_0} \times W_{S_0 \setminus \tilde{S}_0}$. Therefore

$$W = W_{\tilde{S}_0} \times W_{(S \setminus S_0) \cup (S_0 \setminus \tilde{S}_0)} = W_{\tilde{S}_0} \times W_{S \setminus \tilde{S}_0}.$$

(2) \Rightarrow (1): Suppose that $W = W_{\tilde{S}_0} \times W_{S \setminus \tilde{S}_0}$. Then we show that $s(\partial \Sigma_{S_0}) = \partial \Sigma_{S_0}$ for each $s \in S$.

It is clear that $s(\partial \Sigma_{S_0}) = \partial \Sigma_{S_0}$ for each $s \in S_0$.

We prove that $s(\partial \Sigma_{S_0}) = \partial \Sigma_{S_0}$ for each $s \in S \setminus S_0$. Let $s \in S \setminus S_0$ and let $\xi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow \Sigma_{\tilde{S}_0}$ be a geodesic ray with $\xi(0) = e$. Then we show that $s\xi(\infty) = \xi(\infty)$. Let $r \in [0, \infty)$. There exists $w_r \in W_{\tilde{S}_0}$ such that $d(\xi(r), w_r) \leq N$, where $N = \text{diam}(K)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\xi(r), s\xi(r)) &\leq d(\xi(r), w_r) + d(w_r, sw_r) + d(sw_r, s\xi(r)) \\ &\leq N + d(w_r, sw_r) + N \\ &= N + d(w_r, w_r s) + N \\ &= N + 1 + N = 2N + 1, \end{aligned}$$

where the equality $sw_r = w_r s$ follows from $w_r \in W_{\tilde{S}_0}$, $s \in S \setminus S_0 \subset S \setminus \tilde{S}_0$ and the assumption: $W = W_{\tilde{S}_0} \times W_{S \setminus \tilde{S}_0}$. Hence ξ and $s\xi$ are asymptotic, i.e., $s\xi(\infty) = \xi(\infty) \in \partial \Sigma_{\tilde{S}_0}$. Thus

$$s(\partial \Sigma_{\tilde{S}_0}) \subset \partial \Sigma_{\tilde{S}_0} \subset s^{-1}(\partial \Sigma_{\tilde{S}_0}) = s(\partial \Sigma_{\tilde{S}_0}),$$

that is, $s(\partial \Sigma_{\tilde{S}_0}) = \partial \Sigma_{\tilde{S}_0}$. Since $W_{S_0 \setminus \tilde{S}_0}$ is finite by the definition of \tilde{S}_0 , $\Sigma_{S_0 \setminus \tilde{S}_0}$ is compact. Hence

$$\partial \Sigma_{S_0} = \partial(\Sigma_{\tilde{S}_0} \times \Sigma_{S_0 \setminus \tilde{S}_0}) = \partial \Sigma_{\tilde{S}_0}.$$

Thus $s(\partial \Sigma_{S_0}) = \partial \Sigma_{S_0}$ for each $s \in S \setminus S_0$.

Therefore $\partial \Sigma_{S_0}$ is W -invariant. \square

The following corollary is obtained from Theorem 4.3.

Corollary 4.4. *Let (W, S) be an irreducible Coxeter system. If S_0 is a proper subset of S and $\partial \Sigma_{S_0}$ is nonempty, then $\partial \Sigma_{S_0}$ is not W -invariant.*

Proof. Let S_0 be a proper subset of S such that $\partial \Sigma_{S_0}$ is nonempty. Then W_{S_0} is infinite, i.e., \tilde{S}_0 is nonempty. Since (W, S) is irreducible, $W \neq W_{\tilde{S}_0} \times W_{S \setminus \tilde{S}_0}$. Hence $\partial \Sigma_{S_0}$ is not W -invariant by Theorem 4.3. \square

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Professor Kazuhiro Kawamura and Professor Katsuya Yokoi for their encouragement, and would like to thank the referee for helpful advice.

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Groupes et Algèbres de Lie*, Masson, Paris, 1981 (Chapters IV–VI).
- [2] M.R. Bridson, A. Haefliger, *Metric Spaces of Non-positive Curvature*, Springer, Berlin, 1999.
- [3] M.W. Davis, Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by Euclidean space, *Ann. Math.* 117 (1983) 293–324.
- [4] M.W. Davis, Nonpositive curvature and reflection groups, preprint, 1994.
- [5] M.W. Davis, The cohomology of a Coxeter group with group ring coefficients, *Duke Math. J.* 91 (2) (1998) 297–314.
- [6] E. Ghys, P. de la Harpe (Eds.), *Sur les Groupes Hyperboliques d’après Mikhael Gromov*, *Progr. Math.*, Vol. 83, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [7] J.E. Humphreys, *Reflection Groups and Coxeter Groups*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] G. Moussong, Hyperbolic Coxeter groups, Ph.D. Thesis, The Ohio State University, 1988.